

06 MAR 2023

Fitch Rates Florida International University's Parking Bonds 'A+'; Outlook to Positive

Fitch Ratings - New York - 06 Mar 2023: Fitch Ratings has assigned an 'A+' rating to \$24.3 million parking system revenue refunding bonds, series 2023A, issued by the Board of Governors of the State of Florida (BoG) on behalf of Florida International University (FIU).

In addition, Fitch has affirmed FIU's Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'AA-' and the following revenue bond ratings at 'A+':

--\$45.6 million parking system revenue bonds, series 2013A and 2019A;

--\$134.9 million dormitory revenue refunding bonds, series 2015A, 2020A, and 2021A.

The Rating Outlook on the IDR and parking system revenue bonds has been revised to Positive from Stable.

The Rating Outlook on the dormitory revenue bonds is Stable.

Parking revenue bonds are secured by pledged net revenues derived from the operation of FIU's parking system, including a mandatory transportation access fee (TAF) assessed on all FIU students except distance learners.

Dormitory revenue bonds are secured by a first lien on pledged net revenues of FIU's student housing system. Additional security provisions include a pledge of sufficiency and a 1.2x additional bonds test (ABT) measured over the two prior fiscal years.

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION

The Positive Outlook on FIU's IDR reflects Fitch's view that FIU's increasing balance sheet cushion and continued strong demand provide an increasing level of resilience despite expected operating and expense pressures in fiscal 2023. FIU's 'AA-' IDR reflects the university's strong and improving financial profile in the context of strong revenue defensibility and moderate operating risk. FIU's demand characteristics remain competitive, which, combined with historically strong state support for operations and capital, offset thin yet adequate adjusted cash flow.

Pursuant to Fitch's criteria, ratings on securities with narrower or limited revenue pledges may be notched below the parent IDR.

The Positive Outlook on FIU's parking system revenue bonds reflects the strength of the TAF and

resultant resilience of parking system revenue bond debt service coverage. Debt service coverage for the parking revenue bonds is historically solid and resilient due in large part to the stability of the TAF revenue stream, and Fitch expects demand and debt service coverage to remain adequate as the system rebounds to pre-pandemic levels of activity.

The Stable Outlook on FIU's housing system revenue bonds reflects stable yet thin coverage (0.96x MADS in fiscal 2022) as the university fills out new housing stock and contemplates further expansion of the system over the intermediate to long term. The Stable Outlook further reflects the relatively small scale of the system, which serves about 10% of FIU's student base, and elevates the sensitivity of economic DS coverage to operating performance.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Defensibility: 'a'

Strong Demand and State Appropriations

FIU's revenue defensibility is consistent with an 'a' assessment, characteristic of a competitive regional public institution with solid in-state and regional student draw, evidenced by stable enrollment trends and strong demand indicators, including good retention and steady selectivity and matriculation levels. FIU continues to position its enrollment base with a focus on the academic outcomes emphasized under Florida's performance funding system. As a result, FIU's admissions rate has tightened to below 45% in recent years and undergraduate headcount enrollment declined modestly to about 45,600 in fall 2022 from a peak of 49,000 three years prior.

State support has historically been strong, and Fitch expects FIU to continue to benefit from strong state support for operations. Support from the FIU Foundation remains sustainable with annual draws of 4%.

Operating Risk: 'bbb'

Thin Cash Flow; Manageable Capital Needs

The university's 'bbb' operating risk assessment reflects FIU's thin yet adequate adjusted cash flow margins. Fitch expects margins to be modestly pressured in the near term, with softer enrollment and moderate expense growth in the tight state and regional labor market. FIU's capex requirements benefit from consistent state support and manageable capital needs in the near to intermediate term. Current projects under consideration include a mix of residential, academic, and amenities funded by a combination of debt, internal resources and outside funding that Fitch considers manageable.

Financial Profile: 'aa'

Strong Balance Sheet Ratios Through Stress

FIU continued to demonstrate strong leverage metrics in fiscal 2021, as investment and fundraising performance outpaced new borrowing. Total available funds (AF) of about \$758 million to adjusted

debt of \$626 million (including the \$397 million Fitch-adjusted net pension liability), increased to over 120% from historical levels consistently 80%-100%. Fitch's scenario analysis incorporated unaudited fiscal 2022 results and assumes a return to moderate growth in both revenues and expenses, as well as ongoing capex.

Fitch's stress case (which incorporates a modeled market downturn and corresponding operating revenue stress) reflects a more protracted return to revenue growth with somewhat limited expense flexibility, yielding thinner but still ample AF to adjusted debt at near 100%. Liquidity remains adequate and therefore neutral to FIU's ratings; systemwide (Fitch-calculated) debt service coverage was near 1x for fiscal 2022.

Asymmetric Additional Risk Considerations

No asymmetric additional risk considerations apply to FIU's ratings.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

--Weakened demand and operating revenues could result in a revision of the Outlook for the IDR and parking revenue bond rating to Stable;

--Failure to meet housing demand targets and MADS coverage of 1.2x from pledged revenues by fiscal 2023 would pressure the dormitory bond rating;

--Deterioration of AF to adjusted debt to levels consistently below 50%.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

--Consistent demand strength driving a sustained trend of operating revenue growth;

--Maintenance of adjusted cash flow margins consistently above 6%;

--AF to adjusted debt maintained consistently above 100%;

--Upward rating pressure on the housing system bonds could be prompted by sustained, stronger MADS coverage generally exceeding 1.4x over time.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a bestcase rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/ 10111579.

CREDIT PROFILE

FIU, one of 12 institutions of higher education in Florida's State University System, is the largest university in South Florida and is a leading Hispanic Serving Institution in the state. The university serves about 55,500 students on its two main campuses in southwest and northeast Miami-Dade County and satellite campuses located in Broward County, Miami Beach and downtown Miami. FIU's dormitory and parking systems are component auxiliary enterprises that finance and operate the university's housing stock and parking/transportation infrastructure, respectively.

FIU's largely commuter student base has remained stable throughout the pandemic, with fall 2022 undergraduate headcount enrollment remaining fairly stable at just under 46,000. FIU's housing system historically sustained around 100% capacity despite housing about 10% of the university's student base, indicating considerable capacity to absorb added campus housing supply.

Parking Bond Coverage Remains Solid

FIU's parking system has maintained solid revenue bond coverage despite pandemic-related volatility. The system absorbed volatility in fiscal 2020 from contracted activity in the spring semester and a reduced TAF rate in the summer term. These revenue pressures combined with limited expense curtailment late in the fiscal year, compressing coverage to a solid 1.29x. Performance rebounded in fiscal years 2021 and 2022 as parking activity increased and management set the TAF at historical levels with coverage at 1.47x and 1.53x, respectively.

The 2023A refunding bonds will modestly reduce debt service without extending maturity. No additional debt is contemplated at this time. The Positive Outlook on FIU's parking revenue bonds is supported by the broad and consistent base on which the TAF is levied--a set charge for all campus-based students--as well as resilient coverage, which, taken together, reflect credit strength consistent with one notch below the parent IDR.

Strong Housing Demand; Thinner Bond Coverage

FIU has historically experienced growing demand for housing, despite its largely commuter-based campus with only around 10% of students living on campus and history of dormitory occupancy rates consistently at or near 100%. The pandemic and the shift to remote forms of learning significantly weakened housing occupancy in fiscal 2021, with occupancy rates declining to 51% in fall 2020. Despite these challenges, the housing system maintained sufficient annual debt service coverage of 1.05x in fiscal 2021, excluding the transfers of federal relief funds. Housing system occupancy rebounded to 96% in fall 2022 and returned to 9% of enrolled students, generating fiscal 2022 coverage of 1.54x and MADS coverage of 0.96x.

Given the longer-term trend of increasing demand for housing, the university constructed an additional housing facility on the main campus to meet some of the demand identified in a recent

market study. The project, Tamiami Hall, was funded with \$72 million of 2020A bond and nearly \$13 million of cash. With the additional beds, fall 2022 occupancy increased to 98%, equaling 11% of full-time students. The university's strategic plan contemplates as much as doubling the percentage of full-time students living on campus over the long term.

Fitch believes the ABT and management's internal guidelines provide good assurance that pledged coverage will remain adequate in the event of planned additional housing debt, though new debt is likely to curtail future MADS coverage and sustain coverage at levels consistent with the current 'A+' rating.

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

George Stimola Director Primary Rating Analyst +1 212 908 0770 Fitch Ratings, Inc. Hearst Tower 300 W. 57th Street New York, NY 10019

Nancy Moore

Director Secondary Rating Analyst +1 212 908 0725

Emily Wadhwani

Senior Director Committee Chairperson +1 312 368 3347

Media Contacts

Sandro Scenga New York +1 212 908 0278 sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

| ENTITY/DEBT | RATING | | | RECOVERY | PRIOR |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Florida International L University (FL) | .T IDR , | АА- Ф | Affirmed | | AA- O |
| Florida Internatio University (FL) L /Dormitor Revenues, 1 LT | , .Т , У | A+ O | Affirmed | | A+ O |
| Florida Internatio University (FL) /Issuer Default Rating/ 1 LT | , | АА- Ф | Affirmed | | AA- O |
| Florida Internatio University (FL) L /Parking Revenues/ 1 LT | , .T , | A+ ● | Affirmed | | A+ O |

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

| POSITIVE | 0 | ♦ |
|----------|---|---|
| NEGATIVE | • | Ŷ |
| EVOLVING | 0 | • |
| STABLE | 0 | |

Applicable Criteria

Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub.01 Sep 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

U.S. Public Finance College and University Rating Criteria (pub.22 Sep 2022) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Portfolio Analysis Model (PAM), v1.3.4 (1)

Additional Disclosures

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Status

Florida International University (FL) EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/ understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitionsdocument details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a

report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.